A five year multi-case study of midle level teachers

07.00	Dr. Holly Thornton thorntonhj@app state.edu (email her for the presentation)
07:09	
	Teacher Observation: Caitlyn
05:13	A lot of these are self reporting.
06:00	It's a legal can of worms.
07:43	Teacher Observation: Caitlyn
07:43	Longitudinal case analysis.
08:40	Do these beliefs last over time.
09:18	Teacher Observation: Caitlyn
09:35	Put on your teacher evaluator hat.
09:51	What did they do well and what needs improvement?
15:18	Teacher Observation: Amy
23:15	More organized.
23:37	Dispositions Observation form.
23:55	There is an observation form for this stuff.
24:58	Left hand column is responsiveness
25:09	Right hand column is technical
25:18	Center is Medium level.
28:59	The disconnected side sounds like a slam, but it isn't intended to be so.
29:29	Dispositions in Action
29:33	Focus is impact on student learning/depth of understanding.
30:00	Responsive sees the kids getting learning deeply and technical is seeing
30:16	the kids getting it correctly (technical details)
30:38	Context wasn't a main factor in this study.
31:38	Aligned with Young Adolescent needs.
32:04	Responsive are better at teaching this.
32:22	Responsive dispositions align more with deeper teaching. Both are
32:38	necessary, but Responsive seems to have an advantage.
33:21	Manifested in teacher/student interaction.
33:35	Discourse analysis
33:41	Used to understand how the teachers got the kids to this place Groundo theory from model middle school analysis
33:53	Grounde theory from model middle school analysis Evaluating Dispositions
34:05	
35:06	Summary Findings Over Time

35:16	Young teachers' dispositions remained fairly consistent over time.
35:39	They maintained their dispositions over time.
35:52	The testing pieces helped them live out their dispositions, maybe.
36:16	Many teachers leave the field because they don't feel successful in what
36:39	they choose to do.
36:59	What does this imply for us?
37:06	Factors that did not
37:11	Type of school setting
37:18	Content area taught
37:24	Testing emphasis
37:30	Factors that matter most
37:36	School climate
37:42	Collaborative time with teacher
37:53	School leadership
37:59	Principal trust was a huge influence.
38:12	Push on testing
38:17	Trust that they would do their jobs was important
38:30	Testing emphasis
38:40	How that emphasis was stressed moved people to the technical side.
39:34	Original dispositional orientation.
40:01	Can you teach dispositions?
40:28	All were dealing with a strong emphasis on standardized high stakes testing
40:40	via No Child Left Behind.
42:21	(Note find teacher quality index)
45:26	All were held accountable to these tests and were successful in getting
45:41	students to do well on them.
47:26	However, the young teachers' dispositions affected how they reacted to the
47:39	testing focus and how they ultimately defined teaching and learning in their
47:56	classrooms.
48:27	Note: The more middle school a middle school is the better the test
48:45	scores. (Find this study) Consider as Middle Level Teacher Educators
49:03	Responsive teachers may at this time in education feel tlike they are
49:13	teaching against the grain. The question may be what types of dispositions we
49:24	want our graduates to possess, given the current educational context and
49:33	challenges. What serves them best and ultimately what best serves their
49:45 49:59	students and our future society?
50:10	The people who will be in the next century are the responsive types, not the
50:26	technical types.
52:53	Look for publications in Teacher Ed Quarterly.

- How to manifest it in the classroom.
- 53:35 CEU info: AA7
- 54:16 **2461 Session #**
- 54:52 Send her stuff too.